UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred

United States	of America		
Luis Cortez	V. CA/CR No. <u>04-30010</u> Criminal Category		
	with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the achusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge Neiman for the edings:		
(A)	Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions.		
(B)	Referred for full pretrial case management, <u>not</u> including dispositive motions:		
(C)	Referred for discovery purposes only.		
(D)	Referred for Report and Recommendation on:		
	 () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings¹ See Documents Numbered: 		
(E)	Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s).		
(F)	Case referred for settlement.		
(G)	Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)		
(H)	Special Instructions: Initial Appearance upon arrest - Violation		
luna 20, 2005	Dru /a/ Elizabath A Espeak		
June 29, 2005 Date	By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth A. French</u> Deputy Clerk		
(Order of Ref to M	Lwnd - 05/2003)		

See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance v proceeding is re		ules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:		
	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 case			
	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require			
	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary			
	Hold a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a recommendation to the district judge			
	If the magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge shall hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the district judge setting forth:			
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;		
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;		
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;		
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;		
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.		
		ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.		
		ny issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary , the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:		
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;		
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;		
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;		
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.		

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 1/20/03)